

Charles A. Jones, Esq., SBN 6698
Caj@cjoneslawfirm.com

JONES LAW FIRM LLC
18124 Wedge Parkway, #511
Reno, Nevada 89511
Telephone: (775) 853-6440
Facsimile: (775) 853-6445

EDWARD J. WYNNE
ewynne@wynnelawfirm.com
WYNNE LAW FIRM
80 E. Sir Francis Drake Blvd., Suite 3G
Wood Island Larkspur, CA 94939
Telephone: (415) 461-6400
Facsimile: (415) 461-3900

Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Proposed Class
[Additional counsel on signature page]

Todd L. Bice, Bar No. 4534
PISANELLI BICE PLLC
400 S. 7th Street Suite 300
Las Vegas, NV 89101
Telephone: 702.214.2100
tlb@pisanellibice.com

Angela C. Agrusa*
DLA PIPER LLP (US)
2000 Avenue of the Stars
Suite 400 North Tower
Los Angeles, CA 90067-4735
Telephone: 310.595.3000
angela.agrusa@us.dlapiper.com

**Pro hac vice application forthcoming*

Attorneys for Defendant
MGM Resorts International

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

MICHELLE RIGHETTI, individually and on
behalf of all others similarly situated,
Plaintiff,

v.

MGM RESORTS INTERNATIONAL,
Defendant.

Case No. 2:23-cv-02064-APG-DJA

**STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME
TO FILE DEFENDANT'S
RESPONSE TO COMPLAINT
(SECOND REQUEST)**

Pursuant to LR IA 6-1, Plaintiff Michelle Righetti and Defendant MGM Resorts International (“MGM”) (collectively, the “Parties”) respectfully stipulate that MGM’s time to respond to the Complaint be extended from the current deadline of March 11, 2024, to and including April 10, 2024. This is the second stipulation for an extension of time to file MGM’s responsive pleading. The court previously granted an extension on February 28, 2024. ECF No. 17.

Good cause exists to enlarge the time for MGM to respond to the Complaint. There are currently thirteen other related actions filed against MGM pending in this District (the “Related Actions”). *See Owens v. MGM Resorts Int’l*, No. 2:23-cv-01480; *Kirwan v. MGM Resorts Int’l*, No. 2:23-cv-01481; *Zussman v. VICI Properties I LLC, et al.*, No. 2:23-cv-01537; *Lackey v. MGM Resorts Int’l*, No. 2:23-cv-01549; *Pircio v. MGM Resorts Int’l*, No. 2:23-cv-01550; *Terezo v. MGM Resorts Int’l*, No. 2:23-cv-01577; *Rundell v. MGM Resorts Int’l*, No. 2:23-cv-01698; *Bezak v. MGM Resorts Int’l*, No. 2:23-cv-01719; *Albrigo v. MGM Resorts Int’l*, No. 2:23-cv-1981; *Zari v. MGM Resorts Int’l*, No. 2:23-cv-01777; *Manson v. MGM Resorts Int’l*, No. 2:23-cv-01826; *Mejia v. MGM Resorts Int’l, et al.*, No. 2:24-cv-00081; *Sloan v. Vici Properties, Inc.*, No. 2:23-cv-02042.

On December 6 and December 20, 2023, plaintiffs’ counsel in six consolidated putative class actions brought against MGM by individuals who allege their PII was compromised as a result of a cybersecurity incident involving MGM in 2019 (the “2019 Actions”) filed Notices of Related Cases Pursuant to District of Nevada Local Rule 42.1, notifying the Court that the Related Actions are related to the 2019 Actions. *In re: MGM Resorts Int’l Data Breach Litig.*, No. 2:20-CV-00376-GMN-NJK, ECF Nos. 186, 188. Plaintiffs in this action will oppose this effort because the 2019 Actions involved a different threat actor and different data. No order has issued on the notices filed by the plaintiffs in the 2019 Actions, and MGM has not responded to any other Complaint in the Related Actions.

The parties in the Related Actions are discussing this development in addition to the consolidation of the Related Actions. The parties in the Related Actions have also engaged

1 in discussions regarding preliminary information exchange that Defendant believes may
2 avoid the need for certain motion practice, which would conserve judicial resources. As
3 such, additional time is required to permit time to meet and confer with the various parties
4 to the Related Actions.

5 The Parties' request is made in good faith to enable the parties to finalize these meet-
6 and-confers and to conserve judicial and party resources. Moreover, this case is in its
7 infancy, and this request will not prejudice any party.

8 **WHEREAS** the Parties respectfully request that MGM shall have until April 10,
9 2024, to answer, move, or otherwise respond to the Complaint.

10 Dated: March 7, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

11 By: /s/ Charles A. Jones
12 Charles A. Jones, Esq., SBN 6698
13 **JONES LAW FIRM LLC**
14 18124 Wedge Parkway, #511
Reno, Nevada 89511
Caj@cjoneslawfirm.com

15 EDWARD J. WYNNE
16 **WYNNE LAW FIRM**
17 80 E. Sir Francis Drake Blvd., Suite 3G
Wood Island Larkspur, CA 94939
ewynne@wynnelawfirm.com

18 NIAL P. McCARTHY
19 **COTCHETT, PITRE & McCARTHY**
840 Malcolm Road
Burlingame, CA 94010

20 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*

21 By: /s/ Todd L. Bice
22 Todd L. Bice
23 **PISANELLI BICE, PLLC**
24 400 S. 7th Street Suite 300
Las Vegas, NV 89101
tlb@pisanellibice.com

25 Angela C. Agrusa
26 **DLA PIPER LLP (US)**
27 2000 Avenue of the Stars
Suite 400 North Tower
Los Angeles, CA 90067-4735
Angela.agrusa@us.dlapiper.com

28 *Attorneys for Defendant*
MGM Resorts International

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 MICHELLE RIGHETTI, individually and on
4 behalf of all others similarly situated,
Plaintiff,

5 v.


6 MGM RESORTS INTERNATIONAL,
7 Defendant.

Case No. 2:23-cv-02064-APG-DJA

**ORDER GRANTING
STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME
TO FILE DEFENDANT'S
RESPONSE TO COMPLAINT**

8
9 Upon consideration of the foregoing stipulation to extend Defendant MGM Resorts
10 International's time to file response to Plaintiff's Complaint in the above-captioned action,
11 it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Stipulation is **GRANTED**, and the time for MGM Resorts
12 International to answer, move, or otherwise respond to Plaintiff's Complaint is hereby
13 extended to and including April 10, 2024.

14
15 **IT IS SO ORDERED:**

16 

17
18 DANIEL J. ALBREGTS
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

19 DATED: March 8, 2024